IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

THURSDAY, THE 16TH NOVEMBER 2006 / 25TH KARTHIKA 1928

WP(C).No. 30264 of 2006(C)

PETITIONERS:

---

1. PRADEEP KUMAR.B.,
   SEMI SKILLED OPERATOR, TRACO CABLE COMPANY LTD.,
   THIRUVALLA.

2. LINOSH.N.S.,
   SEMI SKILLED OPERATOR, TRACO CABLE COMPANY LTD.,
   THIRUVALLA.

3. SATHYANESAN.B.,
   SEMI SKILLED OPERATOR,
   TRACO CABLE COMPANY LTD., THIRUVALLA.

4. D.GOPAKUMAR,
   SEMI SKILLED OPERATOR,
   TRACO CABLE COMPANY LTD., THIRUVALLA.

BY ADV. SRI.P.C.SASIDHARAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. STATE OF KERALA,
   REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
   INDUSTRIES (H) DEPARTMENT,
   SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE TRACO CABLE COMPANY LTD.,
   KSHB OFFICE COMPLEX, PANAMPILLY NAGAR,
   COCHIN-36, REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL MANAGER.

R1 BY GOVT. PLEADER SHRI. BIJOY CHANDRAN
R2 BY SC SHRI. JAYASANKAR NAMBIAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 16/11/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J.

WP(C)No. 30264 OF 2006

Dated this the 16th November, 2006.

JUDGMENT

The petitioners are Semi Skilled Operators working in the second respondent-Company. Government passed orders regularising their services vide Ext.P2 dated 29.7.2005 making it clear that the benefit of regularisation can be availed only prospectively. The petitioners feel aggrieved by that part of the order which says that the right of regularisation will enure to them from 29.7.2005 onwards only. It is contended that the respondents ought to have granted the benefit of regularisation in service to the petitioners from a past date i.e from the date of their initial entry into the service of the second respondent.

2. Ext.P3 representation was filed by the petitioners before the first respondent on 20.2.2006. Subsequently, Ext.P4 representation was filed on 25.5.2006. Both these representations are pending with the first respondent.

3. Shri. P.C.Sasidharan,learned counsel for the petitioners submits that directions may be issued to the first respondent to pass early orders on Exts.P3 and P4.

4. I have heard Shri. Bijoy Chandran, the learned Govt. Pleader for the first respondent and Mr.Jayasanker Nambiar for the second respondent.

5. In the circumstances there shall be an order directing the first respondent to consider Ext.P3 as also Ext.P4 and pass orders in accordance with law, within two months on the petitioners producing a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before the first respondent. On behalf of the petitioners one of them shall be afforded an opportunity of being personally heard before orders are passed on Exts.P3 and p4. Writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

K.K.DENESAN
Judge

jj